Exhibit C



DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

lasen Microetta Chief Town Investigator

Division of Code Enforcement



Table of Contents

<u>Topic</u>		<u>Pages</u>
1.	10 Code Enforcement Division Accomplishments 2017 & 10 Objectives for 2018	2 - 7
	2018 Accomplishments	
	Open action items - Goals remaining from 2018	
	• 2019 Goals	
	Six month and twelve month goals	
2.	Enforcement and Revenue	8 - 24
3.	Enforcement Personnel	25 – 26
4.	Training	27
5.	Summary	28 - 29
6.	Attachment A.	30 - 33
7.	Attachment B.	34 – 37
8.	Attachment C.	38 - 42

1. Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments & Objectives

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments

- 1. 5,144 Appearance tickets were served by enforcement agents of the Division of Code Enforcement
 - 1402 more appearance tickets served than in 2017, an approximate 37% increase.
- 2. A concerted effort with the Division of Law Enforcement maintained sentencing standards for Division of Code Enforcement produced prosecutions and resulted in \$1,005,285.00 in 5th District Court fines received, a decrease of \$136,330.00 (12%) from 2017.
- 3. The Division of Code Enforcement received \$694,206.50 in fees for Sign Permits, Rental Occupancy Permits, and Violation Searches, a decrease of \$9,696.73 from 2017. Sign Permitting authority and the associated revenue were transferred to the Division of Building in January 2018, and there has not been an increase to any Division of Code Enforcement fees in over 16 years.
- 4. The enforcement of Article IV of Chapter 6A Residential Property Maintenance of the Town of Islip resulted in the service of 1,173 appearance tickets.
- 5. Illegal multiple family dwelling enforcement results include:
 - 953 new complaints investigated which alleged illegal multiple family dwellings
 - 192 appearance tickets issued for violations of §68-25B(1) Change of Use
 - 104 appearance tickets issued for violations of §68-602 Accessory Apartment Permit required
 - 5 appearance tickets issued for violations of §68-605 Accessory Apartment prohibited (shed, detached garage, etc.)
- 6. Non-complaint enforcement conducted in 2018 included the following initiatives:
 - The Expired Rental Occupancy Permit Enforcement Initiative (Attachment A.) by Town Investigators using overtime
 - i. 77 expired permits were investigated, 73 appearance tickets were issued, and 51 Rental Occupancy Permits were renewed or closed.
 - ii. The labor cost for this initiative was \$2,785.08. The sum of the associated fine revenue and permit fees was \$24,950.00, \$22,164.92 over cost.
 - The Quality of Life Enforcement Initiative (Attachment B.) which was conducted from Memorial Day through Labor Day by part time Town Investigators & full time Town Investigators using overtime
 - i. 301 appearance tickets were issued for Town of Islip Code violations
 - ii. The labor cost for this initiative was \$11,679.92. The associated fine revenue was \$39,900, \$28,220.08 over cost.
- 7. Post-prosecution Investigation Unit:
 - Two full time Town Investigators were assigned to investigate previously prosecuted cases that included a conditions of discharge agreement as part of the conviction or sentence. This unit has existed for five years.
 - Chief Investigator Mistretta & Senior Investigator Bahnsen assisted in the investigation of Postprosecution cases.
 - 520 declarations of delinquency were processed against non-compliant defendants in 2018, compared to 503 in 2017.
- 8. Community Development Agency Target Communities Enforcement
 - 100% of complaints were investigated within the College Woods Community
- 9. The non-complaint New York State Department of Finance Problem Properties Investigation Initiative was conducted. This non-enforcement initiative was 100 % grant funded. 206 property investigations were performed and resulted in 35 closed complaints/cases and generated 28 complaints for properties to be considered for Town Board Resolutions to be cleaned or secured. (Attachment C.)

1. <u>Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments & Objectives – continued</u>

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments - continued

- 10. Pool Enforcement results include:
 - 100% of the 149 complaints were investigated.
 - An expedited enforcement policy remains in force, which includes the immediate assignment of complaints, and two-week District Court return dates for appearance tickets issued for pool related violations.
 - Seasonal Enforcement Training of the Division of Code Enforcement staff was conducted on May 25th 2018 ensuring adherence to the established enforcement policy and heightened awareness.
 - 414 appearance tickets were served for pool related violations.
- 11. The Division of Code Enforcement chain of command, reporting to Assistant Town Attorney Valletti, and staff budget remained unchanged.
 - The Division of Code Enforcement staff as of 12/31/18 consisted of:
 - i. Chief Town Investigator
 - ii. Principal Town Investigator
 - 1. The Principal Investigator (Davis) was injured on 5/14/18 and then suspended from duty on 10/24/18 and did not return to service in 2018.
 - iii. Two (2) Senior Town Investigators
 - 1. A Senior Investigator was suspended from duty (Paladini) on 2/8/18 and resigned without returning to service. This position remained vacant throughout the year.
 - 2. A Senior Town Investigator (Bahnsen) remained assigned to the Building Division until 6/23/18 when she was restored to Division of Code Enforcement operations.
 - iv. Ten (10) full time Town Investigators
 - 1. One (1) full time Investigator (Eckert) was assigned to the Building Division until 6/23/18 when he was restored to Division of Code Enforcement operations.
 - 2. Two (2) full time Investigator positions were vacant
 - 3. One (1) full time Investigator was dedicated to Sign Ordinance Enforcement (Dooley) until 1/16/18 when sign permitting responsibilities were transferred to the Building Division.
 - 4. Two (2) full time Investigators were dedicated to post prosecution investigations (Cruz & Benavides)
 - v. Four (4) part time Investigators (Lyon, Liguori, Rudiger & Fajardo)
 - vi. One (1) part time Sign Inspector (McCaffery) until 1/16/18 when she transferred to the Building Division along with the sign permitting function.
 - vii. One (1) part time Code Enforcement Officer (Mendez)
- 12. 2018 Building Division Abandoned Permit Enforcement Initiative:
 - Senior Investigator Bahnsen & Investigator Eckert remained on assignment in the Building Division until June 23rd 2018 and worked to resolve expired and abandoned Building Division permits.
 - This initiative generated \$309,593.00 in Building Division Permit revenue, 236 appearance tickets, and 146 cases closed.
 - This initiative, established in 2013, resolved 2,632 previously failed Building Division Permits and generated permit fee revenue of \$2,871,595.00.

1. <u>Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments & Objectives – continued</u>

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments - continued

- 13. In 2018, Division of Code Enforcement staff attended 528 hours of accredited education to obtain and/or maintain New York State Code Enforcement Official Certification.
- 14. Divisional training was conducted monthly.
- 15. The fleet management program monitored the assignment, availability, upfitting, and maintenance/repair of the division vehicles.
 - Three (3) new Ford Interceptor SUV's were added to the fleet.
- 16. Maintained cooperation with the Suffolk County Police Department's community orientated enforcement units through routine attendance of community meetings at the 3rd & 5th Precincts, where personnel ensured the effective communication of information related to mutual interests.
- 17. Maintained the established policy, which ensures that constituent complaints, which allege Division of Code Enforcement employee misconduct, are responsibly documented and investigated.

1. <u>Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments & Objectives – continued</u>

Open action items from 2018

- 1. The establishment of the Division of Code Enforcement Administrative and Enforcement Manuals is still pending approval.
- 2. The establishment of standardized initiation and basic training for new Division of Code Enforcement Staff remains necessary. Training remains a nebulous process that includes field training with experienced Investigators, the Senior and Principal Investigator.
- 3. Professional development training including management and supervision training for Supervisory Investigators has yet to occur.
- 4. The hiring of staff for all budgeted positions remains incomplete.
 - In 2018 the same open Town Investigator position was offered to two different individuals, who declined to accept the offer based on the starting salary. One of those individuals initially accepted the offer and then accepted a job offer from the Town of Brookhaven.
 - An additional Spanish Speaking Town Investigator position was filled, the individual resigned after six months to accept a similar position in Southampton Town.
 - The Senior investigator position vacated by a resignation remained through the end of 2018...
- 5. There was no progress in expanding the use of mobile technology to reduce the dependence of Town Investigators on the traditional office environment.
- 6. Improve equipment
 - Vehicles replace obsolete vehicles and procure vehicles to meet staff level
 - Vehicles V653, V656 & V653, all Interceptor SUV's, were added to the fleet this year. Additional vehicles are required to eliminate antiquated vehicles that are unreliable and negatively affect productivity. The fleet still contains 8 vehicles that are more than 10 years old and have excessively high mileage.
- 7. Code/Policy Amendments
 - Recommended amendments to Article 50 of Chapter 68 "Rental Dwelling Units" have yet to be considered. The recommended amendments are intended to eliminate archaic aspects of the code that are no longer consistent with the Rental Occupancy Permitting process.
- 8. An effective records retention and file management system has not been established.
- 9. The percentage of Investigators commissioned as Notary Publics decreased to 41%, well short of the goal set for 2018 of 75%.

1. Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments & Objectives - continued

Goals set for January - June 2019

Administrative

- Transition from the Department of Town Attorney into the Department of Public Safety Enforcement
- Develop a standardized orientation and basic training for new Division of Code Enforcement Staff
- Maintain cooperation with the Suffolk County Police Department's community orientated enforcement and support units
- Increase fees for Rental Occupancy Permits and third Party Violation Search Reports (property owners receive reports free of charge)
- Conduct monthly training of enforcement staff
- Develop a method to identify and track cases/complaints closed because the allegation is unfounded or due to compliance.

<u>Personnel</u>

- Plan and schedule for 100% annual New York State Code Enforcement Official training compliance
- Maintain routine monthly training for the enforcement agents of the Division of Code Enforcement focusing on topics such as seasonal condition enforcement, new policies or technology, legal aspects, and professional development.
- Establish appropriate chain of command, create additional enforcement units encompassing all staff with designated supervision, and establish weekly, monthly and annual responsibilities for each title in the chain of command
- Fill budgeted positions to increase staffing to be utilized for investigations related to complaint response and post prosecution investigations

Enforcement

- Complaint Investigations
 - o 100% investigation of Z07 swimming pool related complaints
 - 100% investigation of all complaints for properties located within the College Woods Community and other Community Development Agency (CDA) target areas.
 - o 60% investigation of all Z06 residential change of use complaints received in 2019
 - o 70% investigation of all complaints received in 2019
 - Expand the use of notices of violations/orders to remedy in a controlled and consistent manner

Facilities/Equipment/Technology

- Relocate all enforcement staff from 28 Nassau Avenue to 401 W. Main Street
- Expand use of mobile technology and reduce the dependence of Town Investigators on the traditional office environment
 - Fleet
 - Continue to replace obsolete vehicles
 - Increase number of vehicles
 - Maintain the operation of equipment installed within each vehicle to coincide with reduction of traditional office environment

Code Amendments

 Amendments to Chapter 68 Article 50 "Rental Dwelling Units" to ensure that existing practice is consistent with Town of Islip Code requirements

1. <u>Division of Code Enforcement Accomplishments & Objectives – continued</u>

Goals set for July - December 2019

Administrative

- Implement a standardized orientation and basic training for new Division of Code Enforcement Staff
- · Conduct monthly training of enforcement staff

Personnel

- Maintain routine monthly training for the enforcement agents of the Division of Code Enforcement focusing on topics such as seasonal condition enforcement, new policies or technology, legal aspects, and professional development.
- 100% New York State Code Enforcement Official training compliance
- 100% of the Supervisory Investigator and 50% of the investigator staff commissioned as Notary Publics

Enforcement

- Complaint Investigations
 - o 100% investigation of Z07 swimming pool related complaints
 - o 100% investigation of all complaints for properties located within the College Woods Community and other Community Development Agency (CDA) target areas.
 - o 75% investigation of all Z06 residential change of use complaints received in 2019
 - o 80% investigation of all complaints received in 2019
- Maintain volume of appearance tickets = approximately 5,150
- Annual filings of Declarations of Delinquency increase of 10% = approximately 50

Facilities/Equipment/Technology

- o Complete the transition of enforcement staff to 401 W. Main Street
 - Ensure all information technology and communications systems are completely operational

Code Amendments

• Chapter 68 Article XLVII "Accessory Apartments" to be drafted in association with the Building Division and Zoning Board of Appeals staff.

2. **Enforcement and Revenue**

2018 Division of Code Enforcement - Appearance Ticket Summary

Appearance Tickets	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Bay Shore	58	20	73	92	127	93	118	171	105	107	116	51	1131
Bayport	3	2	3	2	19	1	19	10	14	0	1	12	86
Bohemia	0	17	2	7	20	16	5	2	9	16	13	15	122
Brentwood	105	60	85	178	116	106	101	72	107	142	70	122	1264
Central Islip	84	94	121	95	96	61	90	67	79	165	62	84	1098
East Islip	1	11	17	15	17	7	4	31	11	7	6	7	134
Great River	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10
Hauppauge	5	2	20	4	7	19	16	3	5	10	13	8	112
Holbrook	4	9	3	41	24	12	11	17	14	4	14	15	168
Holtsville	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	2	0	0	2	1	13
Islip	13	9	3	9	33	9	7	7	10	11	12	12	135
Islip Terrace	2	0	11	5	27	21	13	40	23	13	2	1	158
Oakdale	5	8	7	10	6	4	5	6	7	2	8	1	69
Ronkonkoma	7	34	15	25	19	42	8	19	11	19	19	6	224
Sayville	1	4	9	17	7	17	9	4	5	2	1	0	76
West Islip	19	14	12	28	54	33	14	23	27	15	27	31	297
West Sayville	3	1	1	7	1	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	28
Fire Island	0	0	0	5	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	12
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7
			10070	8-8HE	OF THE REAL PROPERTY.	10,00	5 (578)	STATE	1	a lie to the		TV Z	
2018 DOCE Total	310	285	387	544	577	444	425	488	429	516	366	373	5144
2017 DOCE Total	369	326	459	231	240	242	359	435	313	319	294	155	3742
2016 DOCE Total	231	377	393	490	489	549	400	513	304	271	266	260	4543
2015 DOCE Total	290	359	436	485	367	450	385	354	368	262	260	301	4317
2014 DOCE Total	353	397	423	401	456	479	451	309	458	396	357	316	4796
2013 Investigator Total	256	172	220	335	301	297	308	256	230	304	354	254	3287
2012 Investigator Total	117	167	156	129	176	170	165	148	196	178	105	130	1837
2011 Investigator Total	241	220	245	240	183	183	175	266	154	160	130	119	2316
2010 Investigator Total 2009 Investigator Total	242 180	212 142	303 159	316 228	231	240 194	201 244	239	240 365	202 386	210	173 259	2809 2904
Appearance Tickets +/- 2018 vs. 2017	-59	-41	-72	313	337	202	66	53	116	197	72	218	1402
Declaration of Delinquency requests	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	等外表示这种
2018	47	59	61	71	43	27	32	29	29	50	47	25	10tai 520
2017	40	53	48	51	33	42	40	38	22	44	62	30	503
2016	88	48	65	34	45	64	35	49	45	38	27	27	565
2015	31	33	58	71	61	59	31	49	61	77	58	94	683
2014	26	12	20	25	7	5	8	39	23	37	22	44	268
2013	24	9	12	19	44	29	21	27	14	23	24	48	294
+/- 2018 - 2017	7	6	13	20	10	-15	-8	-9	7	6	-15	-5	17

2. <u>Enforcement and Revenue - continued</u>

2018 - Division of Code Enforcement - Closed Complaints & Cases

Complaints Received and Closed in the Same Calendar Year

YEAR	Total Complaints	Total Closed /%	Total Z06 received	Z06 Closed/ %
2018	3734	1159/31%	956	86/9%
2017	3685	1258/34%	1023	135/13%
2016	4083	1,501/37%	1141	255/22%
2015	3934	1792/46%	1097	298/27%
2014	3997	1898/47%	1078	251/23%
2013	5479	2,988/55%	1160	339/29%
2012	6381	3752 / 58%	1196	399/33%
2011	6946	4671 / 67%	1347	656/46%
2010	5022	3703 / 73%	1419	734/52%

Cases Closed by Date of Action

Cases Ci	osca by bate of Atelion	
<u>YEAR</u>	<u>Appearance tickets</u>	<u>Close Cases</u>
2018	<u>5144</u>	<u>3704</u>
2017	3742	32 59
2016	4543	3496
2015	4317	3823
2014	4796	2988
2013	3287	1940
2012	1,837	1,474
2011	2,316	1,499
2010	2809	1425

Complaints Satisfied by Date of Action

Complaints Jatistica by Date of Action								
YEAR	Z06	All						
2018	302	2129						
2017	393	2162						
2016	582	2,652						
2015	568	2823						
2014	764	2217						
2013	674	3851						
2012	940	5549						
2011	926	5718						
2010	888	5657						

^{*}Prior to 2012 the Bureau of Fire Prevention & Ordinance Inspectors were part of the Division of Code Enforcement.

^{**}Prior to 2013 all cases were reflective of a complaint, subsequent to 2012 cases and complaints could be acted upon separately.

2. **Enforcement and Revenue - continued**

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Revenue - Fees

2018		F	Rental Occup	and	y Permits]				
	Sign	Г	Single		Two	Π	Violation		Π	Total
	Permit		Family		Family		Searches*	Misc.		\$
January	\$ 2,594.30	\$	24,525.00	\$	8,000.00	\$	23,700.00	\$ (1,072.80)	\$	57,746.50
February	\$	\$	20,000.00	\$	6,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$ -	\$	46,000.00
March	\$ -	\$	27,000.00	\$	9,000.00	\$	26,800.00	\$ 	\$	62,800.00
April	\$	\$	26,500.00	\$	4,250.00	\$	29,450.00	\$ (550.00)	\$	59,650.00
May	\$ 	\$	24,250.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	27,750.00	\$ -	\$	56,000.00
June	\$	\$	25,750.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	27,350.00	\$ -	\$	63,100.00
July	\$ **	\$	24,250.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	26,650.00	\$ •	\$	55,900.00
August	\$ Ē	\$	29,760.00	\$	6,500.00	\$	59,400.00	\$ (250.00)	\$	95,410.00
September	\$ -	\$	12,250.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	24,050.00	\$ 	\$	40,300.00
October	\$ -	\$	31,250.00	\$	4,500.00	\$	25,450.00	\$ -	\$	61,200.00
November	\$ -	\$	20,000.00	\$	8,000.00	\$	24,250.00	\$ -	\$	52,250.00
December	\$	\$	23,000.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	16,850.00	\$ 	\$	43,850.00
Totals	\$ 2,594.30	\$	288,535.00	\$	73,250.00	\$	331,700.00	\$ (1,872.80)	\$	694,206.50
2018 Monthly Averag	N/A	\$	24,044.58	\$	6,104.17	\$	27,641.67	\$ (156.07)	\$	57,850.54
2017 Monthly Averag	\$ 2,667.04	\$	23,812.50	\$	7,708.33	\$	24,570.56	\$ (99.83)	\$	58,658.60
2016 Monthly Averag	 3,811.00	\$	24,104.17	\$	5,750.00	\$	21,703.67	\$ (39.50)	\$	55,329.34
2015 Monthly Averag	\$ 2,185.02	\$	24,083.33	\$	7,187.50	\$	18,277.50	\$ (104.17)	\$	51,629.18

2. <u>Enforcement and Revenue – continued</u>

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Revenue – Fees

		1	Collected - Divis	ion of Code Enforcement				
		<u>SINGLE</u>						
		<u>FAMILY</u>	TWO FAMILY		MISC. FOR			
	<u>SIGN</u>	RENTAL	<u>RENTAL</u>	<u>VIOLATION</u>	<u>CODE</u>			
	<u>PERMTS</u>	<u>PERMITS</u>	<u>PERMITS</u>	<u>SEARCHES</u>	ENFORCEMENT	<u>TOTAL</u>		
2018	\$ 2,594.30	\$ 288,535.00	\$ 73,250.00	\$ 331,700.00	\$ (1,872. 80)	\$ 694,206.50		
2017	\$ 32,004.50	\$ 285,750.00	\$ 92,500.00	\$ 294,846.73	\$ (1,198.00)	\$ 703,903.23		
2016	\$ 45,731.98	\$ 289,250.00	\$ 69,000.00	\$ 260,444.00	\$ (474.00)	\$ 663,951.98		
2015	\$ 26,220.28	\$ 289,000.00	\$ 86,250.00	\$ 219,330.00	\$ (1,250.00)	\$ 619,550.28		
2014	\$ 28,365.54	\$ 267,285.00	\$ 78,700.00	\$ 194,850.00	\$ -	\$ 569,200.54		
2013	\$ 26,433.06	\$ 226,140.00	\$ 72,260.00	\$ 203,750.00	\$ 3,195.00	\$ 531,778.06		
2012	\$ 21,754.58	\$ 283,940.00	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 191,825.00	\$ 7,057.00	\$ 594,576.58		
2011	\$ 34,664.90	\$ 242,310.00	\$ 97,000.00	\$ 160,400.00	\$ 8,170.00	\$ 542,544.90		
2010	\$ 29,243.17	\$ 220,000.00	\$ 58,000.00	\$ 189,800.00	\$ 18,270.00	\$ 515,313.17		
2009	\$ 21,723.24	\$ 216,770.00	\$ 89,860.00	\$ 206,050.00	\$ 31,750.00	\$ 566,153.24		
2008	\$ 17,348.90	\$ 203,370.00	\$ 49,010.00	\$ 210,050.00	\$ 30,330.00	\$ 510,108.90		
2007	\$ 14,307.88	\$ 211,560.00	\$ 89,500.00	\$ 310,350.00	\$ 11,370.00	\$ 637,087.88		
2006	\$ 22,976.42	\$ 166,050.00	\$ 55,330.00	\$ 413,200.00	\$ 5,415.00	\$ 662,971.42		
						1		
Average	n/a	\$ 245,381.54	\$ 76,973.85	\$ 245,122.75	\$ 8,520.17	\$ 600,872.82		

2. **Enforcement and Revenue - continued**

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Revenue - Fines

In 2018, 5th District Court fine revenue was \$1,005,285.00, which decreased from 2017 by only \$136,330.00. Judgments collected resulting from fines not paid to the court in a timely manner reached \$280,143.54 in 2018. This revenue combined was nearly than \$1.3 million.

The amount of fines imposed in district court in the calendar year for Division of Code Enforcement violations was \$1,059,150.00, which is \$195,075.00 less than fines levied in 2017.

YEAR	Fines Collected		# of A.T.s	Ave	rage Fine
2006	\$	426,000.00	2478	\$	171.91
2007	\$	369,000.00	2184	\$	168.96
2008	\$	322,000.00	2294	\$	140.36
2009	\$	578,000.00	3718	\$	155.46
2010	\$	601,165.00	3624	\$	165.88
2011	\$	323,450.00	3090	\$	104.68
2012	\$	528,944.00	2533	\$	208.82
2013	\$	693,080.00	3934	\$	176.18
2014	\$	1,329,773.00	5464	\$	243.37
2015	\$	1,390,623.00	4708	\$	295.37
2016	\$	1,143,335.00	5,032	\$	227.21
2017	\$	1,141,615.00	4,417	\$	258.46
2018	\$	1,005,285.00	5,144	\$	195.43
Average	\$	757,866.92	3740	5	193.24

The District Court fines recorded below are reported within the month collected by the court.

leanneas de la companya de la compan	2018	2017	2016/2017 +/-		
January	\$ 80,135	\$ 79,670	\$	465	
February	\$ 72,240	\$ 89,475	\$	(17,235)	
March	\$ 71,975	\$ 101,820	\$	(29,845)	
April	\$ 79,955	\$ 74,985	\$	4,970	
May	\$ 88,880	\$ 101,040	\$	(12,160)	
June	\$ 70,730	\$ 107,220	\$	(36,490)	
July	\$ 77,440	\$ 80,574	\$	(3,134)	
August	\$ 92,660	\$ 117,681	\$	(25,021)	
September	\$ 99,045	\$ 104,200	ŝ	(5,155)	
October	\$ 131,075	\$ 113,665	\$	17,410	
November	\$ 77,600	\$ 80,565	ę. j.,	(2,965)	
December	\$ 63,550	\$ 90,720	\$	(27,170)	
Total	\$ 1,005,285	\$ 1,141,615	\$	(136,330)	

2. Enforcement and Revenue - continued

Division of Code Enforcement Revenue - Fines continued

Average fine revenue per Investigator

In 2018, the average fine revenue for each appearance ticket prosecuted in 5th District Court decreased by \$63.03 (nearly 25%), from \$258.46 to \$195.43. However, the average fine revenue generated by an Investigator increased as result of a significant increase in the total amount of appearance tickets issued by the division. The average amount of appearance tickets issued per Investigator was 312 compared to 220 in 2017.

2018 Investigators statistics		
Enforcement Staff (Including Supervisory & Part Time)		16.5
Total 5th District Court appearance tickets served		5144
Total 5th District Court fine revenue	\$	1,005,285.00
Average fine per appearance ticket		
served		\$195.43
Average total of appearance tickets served per		
Investigator	**************************************	312
Average fine revenue per Investigator		<u>\$60,974.16</u>

2017 Investigators statistics					
Freference Chaff (Incl. directors of Dest Time)	47				
Enforcement Staff (Including Supervisory & Part Time)	17 4417				
Total 5th District Court appearance tickets served					
Total 5th District Court fine revenue	\$1,141,615.00				
Average fine per appearance ticket served	\$258.46				
Average total of appearance tickets served per					
Investigator	220				
Average fine revenue per Investigator	<u>\$56,861.20</u>				

2016 Investigators statistics	
Enforcement Staff (Including Supervisory & Part Time)	15.5
Total 5th District Court appearance tickets served	5032
Total 5th District Court fine revenue	\$1,143,335.00
Average fine per appearance ticket served	\$227.21
Average total of appearance tickets served per	
Investigator	293
Average fine revenue per Investigator	<u>\$66,572.53</u>

2. Enforcement and Revenue - continued

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Complaint Records

2018 Division of Code Enforcement complaints by type and month

2018		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	Мау	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	TOTAL
H01	Article L - Rental Occupancy Permit	5	1	1	4	4	2	1	7	3	3	2	5	38
RPM02	Residential Property Maintenance- Litter	10	16	11	23	32	57	36	20	24	15	11	14	269
RPM03	Residential Property Maintenance-Habitable Space Requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
RPM04	Residential Property Maintenance - Exterior Structure	2	1	5	2	8	7	4	10	5	1	2	1	48
RPM05	Residential Property Maintenance- Roof	4	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	12
RPM06	Residential Property Maintenance- Smoke Alarms	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
RPM07	Residential Property Maintenance- Plumbing issues	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	. 2	1	0	3	10
RPM08	Residential Property Maintenance- No water	2	2	6	. 2	1	3	0	2	1	4	1	0	24
RPM09	Residential Property Maintenance-Interior Maintenance	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	3	1	2	0	12
RPM10	Residential Property Maintenance- Sewage	1	0	0	3	. 2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
RPM11	Residential Property Maintenance- No Water/Hot Water Supply	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	5
RPM12	Residential Property Maintenance- No Heat/hot water 9/15-5/31	10	4	3	4	2	0	0	0	0	6	12	1	42
RPM13	Residential Property Maintenance- Electrical system	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	1	3	2	0	17
RPM14	Residential Property Maintenance- Appliance maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3
RPM18	Residential Property Maintenance- Carbon Monoxide Alarms	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4
RPM20	Residential Property Maintenance- Sidewalk Obstructions	1	0	1	0	3	15	11	3	3	1	0	2	40
RPM30	Residential Property Maintenance-Excessive Vegetation	1	1	1	4	87	147	71	80	53	21	2	0	468
RPM99	Residential Property Maintenance - Miscellaneous	7	1	3	8	0	7	6	8	4	1	0	4	49
S99	Sign	0	8	0	4	7	6	2	22	0	2	0	0	51
Z01	Outdoor Storage	39	46	50	64	45	86	39	85	33	52	31	27	597
Z03	Sight Obstruction	2	3	6	3	11	20	16	21	22	13	5	4	126
Z04	Fence/Walls	1	1	3	5	4	5	8	2	0	3	1	1	34
Z05	Building Division Permit Required	9	7	8	25	17	17	10	14	9	12	8	15	151
Z06	Change of Use - housing	77	69	53	58	90	146	89	75	74	89	56	77	953
Z07	Swimming Pool related	7	7	6	12	20	28	27	12	16	6	5	3	149
Z08	Equine Violations	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Z09	Poultry	1	1	3	3	5	0	3	3	2	0	1	0	22
Z10	Pigeons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Z11	Restrictive Covenants	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
Z12	Commercial Vehicle Parking	6	6	4	12	10	27	14	11	7	8	6	17	128
Z14	Change of Use - Land	0	0	0	* 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Z15	Improper Boat Storage	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Z16	Light Emanating	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	4	1	2	0	14
Z17/Z18	Re-grading/Clearing	0	1	2	5	4	1	1	2	5	0	1	1	23
Z19	Prohibited Uses	8	14	12	12	9	38	12	20	12	10	12	15	174
Z21	Curb Cut Permit Requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z23	Graffiti	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Z25	Peddler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z26	Snow removal/Sidewalk Obstruction	16	0	4	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	27
Z99	Miscellaneous Zoning	13	8	10	4	7	39	29	32	24	14	11	19	210
TOTAL		224	200	197	263	383	662	395	445	308	274	173	210	3734

2. Enforcement and Revenue - continued

2018 Division of Code Enforcement Complaint Records

2014-2018 Complaints by type comparison

Complaint Code	Complaint Type	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Average
H01	Article L - Rental Occupancy Permit	123	122	35	65	38	77
H99	Misc. Housing	32	86				86
RPM03	Residential Property Maintenance-Habitable Space Requirements			4	2	1	3
RPM04	Residential Property Maintenance- Exterior Structure			62	42	48	52
RPM05	Residential Property Maintenance- Roof			7	9	12	8
RPM06	Residential Property Maintenance- Smoke Alarms			6	1	5	4
RPM07	Residential Property Maintenance- Plumbing issues			12	11	10	12
RPM08	Residential Property Maintenance- No water			25	17	24	21
RPM09	Residential Property Maintenance-Interior Maintenance			10	11	12	11
RPM10	Residential Property Maintenance- Sewage			17	7	8	12
RPM11	Residential Property Maintenance- No Water/Hot Water Supply			5	5	5	5
RPM12	Residential Property Maintenance- No Heat/hot water 9/15-5/31			33	43	42	38
RPM13	Residential Property Maintenance- Electrical system			9	14	17	12
RPM14	Residential Property Maintenance- Appliance maintenance			3	3	3	3
RPM18	Residential Property Maintenance- Carbon Monoxide Alarms			0	1	4	1
RPM20	Residential Property Maintenance- Sidewalk Obstructions			35	41	40	38
RPM30/Z30	Residential Property Maintenance- Excessive Vegetation	558	466	578	545	468	523
RPM99	Residential Property Maintenance- Miscellaneous			48	50	49	49
S99	Sign	14	21	29	50	51	33
Z01	Outdoor Storage	429	455	583	38	597	420
RPM02/Z02	Residential Property Maintenance- Litter	123	248	347	686	269	335
Z03	Sight obstructions	127	66	87	73	126	96
Z04	Fence	27	52	87	52	34	50
Z05	Building Division Permit Required	265	199	213	182	151	202
Z06	Change of Use - housing	1074	1099	1141	1023	953	1058
Z07	Pool related	141	176	185	138	149	158
Z08/Z09	Equine/Poultry	16	21	36	7	25	21
Z10	Pigeons	5	2	0	21	1	6
Z11	Restrictive covenants	8	5	1	3	10	5
Z12	Commercial vehicle parking	214	164	122	16	128	129
Z15	Improper boat storage	0	1	4	96	3	21
Z16	Light emanating	9	17	10	3	14	11
Z17/Z18	Re-grading/clearing	24	28	13	0	23	18
Z19	Prohibited uses	169	174	122	23	174	132
Z20	Residential Property Maintenance- Sidewalk Obstructions	36	70	47	17	0	34
721	Curb cut	2	6	0	88	0	19
Z23	Graffiti	65	39	56	0	2	32
Z26	Snow Removal	75	105	0	17	27	45
Z99	misc. zoning	384	309	106	40	210	210
	other	81	16	5	70	1	35
TOTAL		4001	3947	4083	3685	3734	3929

2. Enforcement and Revenue – continued

2018 Hamlet Complaint and Enforcement Analysis

2018 Complaints and Closing Actions by Hamlet

All Complaints (including 206)

Illegal Multiple Dwelling (Z06) Complaints - §68-25B(1)

		% of	T	% of	Complain		Z06	T	<u> </u>	T	Z06	
	Complaints	Complaints	Cases	Cases	ts	% of	Complaints	% of Closed	Z06 Cases	% of Closed	Complaints	% of Z06
	Closed in	Closed in	Closed in	Closed in	Received	Complaints Received in	Closed in	Z06 Cases	Closed	Z06 Cases	Received	Complaints Received in
Hamlet	2018	2018	2018	2018	in 2018	2018	2018	in 2018	2018	in 2018	in 2018	2018
Bay Shore	451	21.18%	773	20.87%	911	24.39%	62	20.53%	40	18.26%	272	28.45%
Bayport	27	1.27%	71	1.92%	48	1.28%	3	0.99%	4	1.83%	12	1.25%
Bohemia	57	2.68%	116	3.13%	80	2.14%	11	3.64%	11	5.02%	15	1.56%
Brentwood	346	16.25%	641	17.31%	639	17.11%	64	21.19%	39	17.81%	225	23.53%
Central Islip	445	20.90%	686	18.52%	743	19.89%	66	21.85%	60	27.40%	145	15.16%
East Islip	75	3.52%	171	4.62%	144	3.85%	12	3.97%	10	4.57%	23	2.40%
Great River	10	0.47%	14	0.38%	13	0.34%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	0.31%
Hauppauge	46	2.16%	91	2.46%	69	1.84%	6	1.99%	3	1.37%	19	1.98%
Holbrook	70	3.29%	129	3.48%	113	3.02%	4	1.32%	3	1.37%	23	2.40%
Holtsville	7	0.33%	15	0.40%	12	0.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	0.52%
Islip	89	4.18%	134	3.62%	145	3.88%	9	2.98%	4	1.83%	39	4.07%
Islip Terrace	74	3.48%	127	3.43%	145	3.88%	4	1.32%	. 3	1.37%	33	3.45%
Oakdale	63	2.96%	116	3.13%	86	2.30%	10	3.31%	4	1.83%	16	1.67%
Ronkonkoma	115	5.40%	187	5.05%	178	4.76%	22	7.28%	15	6.85%	43	4.49%
Sayville	71	3.33%	127	3.49%	106	2.83%	6	1.99%	11	5.02%	17	1.77%
West Islip	157	7.47%	255	6.88%	250	6.69%	22	7.28%	11	5.02%	62	6.48%
W. Sayville	17	0.80%	33	0.89%	18	0.48%	1	0.33%	0	0.00%	4	0.41%
other	0	0.00%	18	0.49%	34	0.91%	0	0.00%	1	0.46%	0	0.00%
Total	2120	100%	3704	100%	3734	100%	302	100%	219	100%	956	100%

2. Enforcement and Revenue – continued

2018 Hamlet Complaint and Enforcement Analysis- continued

2018 Complaints and Enforcement Actions by Hamlet

All Complaints (including 206)

Illegal Multiple Dwelling (Z06) Complaints - §68-258(1)

	Appearance	% of 2018	D.O.D.	% of D.O.D.	Complaint s	% of	68-25B(1)	% of	D.O.D.	% D.O.D.	Z06 Complaints	% of Z06
	Tickets	Appearanc e	Request s	Requests	Received	Complaints	A.T.s	68-25B(1)	Requests	Requests	Received	Complaints
Hamlet	Issued 2018	Tickets issued	All Types	All Types	in 2018	Received in 2018	Issued 2018	AT's Issued	Z06 Only	Z06	in 2018	Received 2018
Bay Shore	1131	21.99%	93	17.75%	911	24.39%	40	20.94%	2	14.29%	272	28.45%
Bayport	86	1.67%	3	0.57%	48	1.28%	2	1.05%	0	0.00%	12	1.25%
Bohemia	122	2.37%	18	3.44%	80	2.14%	3	1.57%	0	0.00%	15	1.56%
Brentwood	1264	24.57%	125	23.85%	639	17.11%	62	32.46%	2	14.29%	225	23.53%
Central Islip	1098	21.35%	112	21.37%	743	19.89%	46	24.08%	7	50.00%	145	15.16%
East Islip	134	2.60%	12	2.29%	144	3.85%	2	1.05%	1	7.14%	23	2.40%
Great River	10	0.19%	3	0.57%	13	0.34%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	0.31%
Hauppauge	112	2.18%	13	2.48%	69	1.84%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%	19	1.98%
Holbrook	168	3.27%	21	4.01%	113	3.02%	4	2.09%	0	0.00%	23	2.40%
Holtsville	13	0.25%	1	0.19%	12	0.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	0.52%
Islip	135	2.62%	16	3.05%	145	3.88%	4	2.09%	0	0.00%	39	4.07%
Islip Terrace	158	3.07%	12	2.29%	145	3.88%	3	1.57%	0	0.00%	33	3.45%
Oakdale	69	1.34%	13	2.48%	86	2.30%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%	16	1.67%
Ronkonkoma	224	4.35%	28	5.34%	178	4.76%	8	4.19%	0	0.00%	43	4.49%
Sayville	76	1.48%	11	2.10%	106	2.83%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%	17	1.77%
West Islip	297	5.77%	35	6.68%	250	6.69%	13	6.81%	2	14.28%	62	6.48%
W. Sayville	28	0.50%	7	1.34%	18	0.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	0.41%
other	19	0.37%	1	0.19%	34	0.91%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	5144	100%	524	100%	3734	100%	191	100%	14	100%	956	100%

2. Enforcement and Revenue - continued

2018 Annual Summary of Complaints & Actions with Hamlet Comparison Details

In 2018, the Division of Code Enforcement primarily conducted enforcement actions in response to complaints received which alleged violations of Town of Islip code at residential properties. The Central Complaint Bureau recorded 3734 complaints, which alleged violations for which the Division of Code Enforcement has enforcement responsibility. In addition to the complaints received in 2018, other enforcement activity was conducted based on complaints and cases initiated in prior years and limited non-complaint based enforcement initiatives.

General Performance Measures

The enforcement action taken by the Division of Code Enforcement is measured in complaint and case volume processed and investigated, closed cases and satisfied complaints, appearance tickets served, and delinquency proceedings filed.

Complaint- Complaints are generated upon receipt of an allegation of code violations. Complaints are primarily received from constituents but may also be reported by municipal employees, both from within the Town of Islip or other municipal organizations, who identify or receive complaints of alleged violations in their official capacity. Complaints may be satisfied administratively or after a case is generated and the investigation is completed.

Case – Cases are generated when a complaint is assigned for investigation or when an enforcement agent initiates an investigation based on observations made independent of a complaint.

Appearance ticket- An appearance ticket may be created by enforcement agents authorized within TOI §2-2 to initiate prosecution of a code violation. The service of appearance tickets is the primary method used to attain violation resolution.

Declaration of delinquency – Declaration of delinquency proceedings are requested to re-establish prosecution when a defendant fails to comply with conditions of discharge imposed during the earlier disposition of an appearance ticket(s).

2. <u>Enforcement and Revenue - continued</u>

2018 Annual Summary of Complaints & Actions with Hamlet Comparison Details- continued

Bay Shore

Complaints

In 2018, the hamlet of Bay Shore was subject to 911 complaints, the highest number of complaints for an individual hamlet (24.4% of all complaints) and a substantial increase from 2017 (677 total complaints).

272 complaints were Z06 type complaints, which allege the change of occupancy of a single or two family dwelling. These complaints are colloquially known as "illegal multiple family dwellings." Bay Shore received 28.45% of all Z06 type complaints received in 2018, which is more than any other hamlet.

Enforcement Action

Bay Shore was subject to the 2nd greatest share of appearance tickets for all types of violations (1131) and of change of occupancy violations associated with illegal multiple family dwellings (40).

In 2018, 93 delinquency recommendations were filed with the Division of Law Enforcement (17.75% of all D.O.D. recommendations) for properties within Bay Shore.

Concluded Cases & Complaints

In 2018, 451 complaints (21.2 % of all complaints) satisfied were for properties located in Bay Shore. 773 cases (20.9% of all cases) were closed for properties located in Bay Shore. The volume of complaints closed for properties in Bay Shore was the most of all hamlets.

62 Z06 type complaints were satisfied related to Bay Shore properties in 2018 (20.5% of all Z06 complaints) and 40 Z06 type cases were closed.

2. Enforcement and Revenue - continued

2018 Annual Summary of Complaints & Actions with Hamlet Comparison Details- continued

Brentwood

Complaints

In 2018, the hamlet of Brentwood was subject to 639 complaints, the 3rd most complaints for an individual hamlet and 17.1% of the total complaints received.

225 were Z06 type complaints, which allege a change of occupancy of a single or two family dwelling. Brentwood received 23.5% of all Z06 type complaints, which is more than any other hamlet.

Enforcement Action

Brentwood was subject to issuance of the greatest number of appearance tickets for all types of code violations (1,264) and of change of occupancy violations associated with illegal multiple family dwellings (62).

Brentwood was also the hamlet subject to the most delinquency recommendations for properties, which did not comply with district court sentencing conditions. In 2018, 125 recommendations for delinquency were filed with the Division of Law Enforcement (23.9% of all D.O.D. Recommendations).

Concluded Cases & Complaints

In 2018, 346 complaints (16.25 % of all complaints satisfied) were satisfied for properties located in Brentwood. 773 cases were closed for properties located in Brentwood representing 17.3% of all closed cases. The amount of cases closed for properties in Brentwood was the 3^{rd} most of all hamlets.

64 Z06 type complaints were satisfied related to Brentwood properties in 2018, which represents 21.2% of all satisfied Z06 type complaints, and 39 Z06 type cases were closed.

2. Enforcement and Revenue - continued

2018 Annual Summary of Complaints & Actions with Hamlet Comparison Details- continued

Central Islip

Complaints

In 2018, Central Islip received the 2nd highest volume of total complaints. Central Islip received 743 total complaints, which represents 19.9% of all complaints received.

145 of those complaints were Z06 type complaints, which allege the change of use of a single or two family dwelling. The hamlet of Central Islip received the 3rd most (15.2%) of all the Z06 type complaints.

Enforcement Action

Central Islip received the 3rd highest amount of appearance tickets for all types of violations (1089) and of change of use violations associated with illegal multiple family dwellings (46).

In 2018, Division of Code Enforcement filed 112 delinquency recommendations with the Division of Law Enforcement (21.4% of all delinquency recommendations).

Concluded Cases & Complaints

In 2018, 445 complaints (20.9% of complaints for all hamlets) were satisfied for properties located in the hamlet of Central Islip. 686 cases (18.52% of cases for all hamlets) were closed for properties located in Central Islip. The volume of complaints and cases closed for properties in Central Islip was 2nd most of all hamlets.

Central Islip was subject to the 3rd highest volume of Z06 type complaints (145), and 66 Z06 type complaints were satisfied in 2018 (21.9% of all Z06 complaints).

Hamlet Enforcement Summary

The hamlets of Brentwood, Bay Shore, and Central Islip combined were subject to 2,293 complaints in 2018, representing 61% of all complaints and 67% of all Z06 type complaints.

It is important to note the relationship between Z06 type complaints and the enforcement actions commonly associated with conditions found at properties for which the use has been changed from a single or two family dwelling. There are typically numerous violations associated with change of occupancy complaints, e.g. constructed alterations to the plumbing system(s), interior and exterior alterations to the dwelling, rental occupancy, and property maintenance deficiencies. As a result, the amount of violations often associated with Z06 type complaints, in conjunction with the fact that the Division of Code Enforcement is almost entirely responsive to complaints, the properties within the hamlets of Brentwood, Bay Shore, and Central Islip were the subject of 3,439 appearance tickets (67.9%), 330 delinquency requests (62.9%), 1,242 satisfied complaints (58.3%) and 2,100 closed cases (56.7%).

2. Enforcement and Revenue – continued

2018 Enforcement Initiatives

In 2018, the Division of Code Enforcement conducted investigations and enforcement actions, which were primarily complaint based; however, there were two distinct enforcement initiatives conducted in 2018, which were not complaint based and a third, which was an investigative initiative that was grant funded and did not involve enforcement (attachment C). The intent of the enforcement initiatives was to target specific conditions not effectively addressed based solely on constituent complaints. The initiatives were crafted to be measurable throughout execution, ensuring both efficacy and efficiency of the violation resolution.

The Quality of Life Enforcement Initiative (attachment A) was implemented the week ending June 3nd 2018. The Expired Rental Occupancy Permit Enforcement Initiative (attachment B) was implemented in 2016 and was conducted throughout 2018.

The Quality of Life Enforcement Initiative

Full time Investigators using overtime and a part time Town Investigator conducted the Quality of Life Enforcement Initiative from Memorial Day through Labor Day. Enforcement rotated between hamlets week to week and the Investigators conducted this patrol enforcement throughout the summer.

Information posted on the Town of Islip website reminded residents of their responsibility to maintain their properties in compliance with the Town of Islip Code and advised them to expect focused enforcement throughout the summer.

The Division of Code Enforcement issued 301 appearance tickets for alleged violations of Chapter 6A Residential Property Maintenance, outdoor storage of unregistered vehicles, and the parking of commercial vehicles.

As of January 2019, approximately 81% of the cases prosecuted related to these violations have been concluded, resulting in \$39,900.00 in fine revenue. The overall labor cost of this initiative was approximately \$11,679.92, yielding \$28,220.08 in positive revenue while addressing 301 individual violations. These violations would likely have remained unaddressed if not for this initiative.

									Pe	rsonnel Est.	
W/E	Initiative	# AT	Max. Fine	A	ctual Fine	Permit Fees	Tot	al Revenue		Cost	\$ +/-
6/2/2018	QOL	24	\$ 43,000.00	\$	2,900.00		\$	2,900.00	\$	(897.72)	\$ 2,002.28
6/9/2018	QOL	37	\$ 73,000.00	\$	2,100.00		\$	2,100.00	\$	(642.68)	\$ 1,457.32
6/16/2018	QOL	20	\$ 56,000.00	\$	3,400.00	¥	\$	3,400.00	\$	(952.76)	\$ 2,447.24
6/23/2018	QOL	14	\$ 26,000.00	\$	1,150.00		\$	1,150.00	\$	(952.76)	\$ 197.24
6/30/2018	QOL	27	\$ 78,000.00	\$	4,500.00		\$	4,500.00	\$	(897.72)	\$ 3,602.28
7/7/2018	QOL	14	\$ 27,500.00	\$	3,000.00		\$	3,000.00	Ş	(952.76)	\$ 2,047.24
7/14/2018	QOL	3	\$ 6,000.00	\$	200.00		\$	200.00	\$	(413.40)	\$ (213.40)
7/21/2018	QOL	23	\$ 42,200.00	\$	3,900.00		\$	3,900.00	5	(965.16)	\$ 2,934.84
7/28/2018	QOL	19	\$ 44,000.00	\$	2,150.00		\$	2,150.00	\$	(771.76)	\$ 1,378.24
8/4/2018	QOL	26	\$ 65,000.00	\$	3,100.00		\$	3,100.00	Š,	(771.76)	\$ 2,328.24
8/11/2018	QOL	20	\$ 50,000.00	\$	1,700.00		\$	1,700.00	\$	(578.36)	\$ 1,121.64
8/18/2018	QOL	26	\$ 75,000.00	\$	4,050.00		\$	4,050.00	5	(952.76)	\$ 3,097.24
8/25/2018	QOL	22	\$ 65,000.00	\$	4,100.00		\$	4,100.00	\$	(965.16)	\$ 3,134.84
9/1/2018	QOL	26	\$ 77,000.00	\$	3,650.00		\$	3,650.00	3	(965.16)	\$ 2,684.84
		301	\$ 727,700.00	\$	39,900.00		\$	39,900.00	5	(11,679.92)	\$ 28,220.08

2. Enforcement and Revenue – continued

2018 Enforcement Initiatives - continued

The Expired Rental Occupancy Permit Enforcement Initiative

Town Investigators utilizing overtime conducted the Expired Rental Occupancy Permit Enforcement Initiative throughout the year. Senior Administrative Assistant Loretta Quintana assisted by coordinating the enforcement. Mrs. Quintana identified properties for which Rental Occupancy Permits had expired, had not been renewed, and for which compliance could not be administratively identified. Four hours of overtime were offered to Town Investigators each week to investigate four cases. Throughout the year, expired permits were assigned for investigation based on when the permit expired and, when possible, by location.

In 2018, the DOCE investigated 77 properties due to the owner failing to renew previously issued Rental Occupancy Permits. These investigations resulted in the issuance of 73 appearance tickets. Thirteen properties were compliant and the permit records associated were properly closed; Rental Occupancy Permits were issued to 51 individual dwelling units after enforcement.

As of January 2019, 58% of initiative-based prosecutions have concluded; yielding \$15,950 in imposed fines. In addition, the DOCE has received \$9,000 in permit renewal fees. The combined revenue to date is \$24,950, while the total cost of labor was \$2,785.08. This initiative resulted in the resolution of 36 expired Rental Occupancy Permits while generating a positive revenue of \$22,164.92.

				<u> </u>		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						ОТ		
									To	otal Actual	P	ersonnel		
W/E	Initiative	#AT		Max. Fine	Ad	tual Fine	Pe	rmit Fees	R	levenue \$	E	st. Cost		\$ + or (-)
1/20/2018	RENTAL	14	\$	31,500.00	\$	650.00	\$	1,250.00	\$	1,900.00	\$	(331.76)	\$	1,568.24
2/3/2018	RENTAL	4	\$	10,000.00	\$	900.00	\$	1,250.00	\$	2,150.00	\$	(189.60)	\$	1,960.40
2/10/2018	RENTAL	0	\$	V _e g-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(189.60)	\$	(189.60)
5/11/2018	RENTAL	3	\$	7,500.00	\$	3,500.00	\$	500.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	(189.60)	\$	3,810.40
5/19/2018	RENTAL	3	\$	7,500.00	\$	250.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	1,250.00	\$	(189.60)	\$	1,060.40
9/8/2018	RENTAL	11	\$	22,000.00	\$	2,500.00	\$	750.00	\$	3,250.00	\$	(386.80)	\$	2,863.20
9/15/2018	RENTAL	3	\$	7,500.00	\$	1,750.00	\$	750.00	\$	2,500.00	\$	(331.76)	\$	2,168.24
9/22/2018	RENTAL	12	\$	27,000.00	\$	2,250.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	3,250.00	\$	(331.76)	\$	2,918.24
9/29/2018	RENTAL	4	\$	9,500.00	\$	3,650.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	4,650.00	Ş	(193.40)	\$	4,456.60
10/6/2018	RENTAL	1	\$	2,500.00	\$	500.00	\$	250.00	\$	750.00	\$	(193.40)	\$	556.60
10/13/2018	RENTAL	0	\$	-	\$	+	\$	250.00	\$	250.00	\$	(193.40)	\$	56.60
11/23/2018	RENTAL	8	\$	13,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	(396.16)	\$	603.84
Totals		63	\$	138,500.00	\$	15,950.00	\$	9,000.00	\$	24,950.00	\$	(2,785.08)	\$	22,164.92

2. Enforcement and Revenue – continued

2018 Enforcement Initiatives - continued

Summary of 2018 Enforcement Initiatives

Each of the above initiatives were demonstrably successful. The DOCE addressed a total of 341 individual violations efficiently and effectively. Labor cost associated with these initiatives were recovered in fines and fees, generating \$60,950.00 in revenue that amounted to more than three times labor cost (\$13,499.84). The cost benefit of these initiatives will continue to grow as district court proceedings conclude.

Although cost benefits are an important factor in the responsible management and supervision of the division, the primary benefit of these enforcement initiatives should be recognized as the resolution of conditions, which diminish public safety, and the quality of life of the residents of the Town of Islip. The initiatives conducted in 2018 identified and addressed violations in each of the primary hamlets of the Town of Islip.

								T	otal Actual	Pe	ersonnel w/OT	
W/E	Initiative	#AT	Max. Fine	Α	ctual Fine	Pe	rmit Fees	F	Revenue\$		Est. Cost	\$+or(-)
1/20/2018	RENTAL	14	\$ 31,500.00	\$	650.00	\$	1,250.00	\$	1,900.00	\$	(331.76)	\$ 1,568.24
2/3/2018	RENTAL	4	\$ 10,000.00	\$	900.00	\$	1,250.00	\$	2,150.00	\$	(189.60)	\$ 1,960.40
2/10/2018	RENTAL	0	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Ç	(189.60)	\$ (189.60
5/11/2018	RENTAL	3	\$ 7,500.00	\$	3,500.00	\$	500.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	(189.60)	\$ 3,810.40
5/19/2018	RENTAL	3	\$ 7,500.00	\$	250.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	1,250.00	ć,	(189.60)	\$ 1,060.40
6/2/2018	QOL	24	\$ 43,000.00	\$	2,900.00	\$	-	\$	2,900.00	\$	(897.72)	\$ 2,002.28
6/9/2018	QOL	37	\$ 73,000.00	\$	2,100.00	\$	-	\$	2,100.00	Ş	(642.68)	\$ 1,457.32
6/16/2018	QOL	20	\$ 56,000.00	\$	3,400.00	\$	-	\$	3,400.00	\$	(952.76)	\$ 2,447.24
6/23/2018	QOL	14	\$ 26,000.00	\$	1,150.00	\$	-	\$	1,150.00	Ş	(952.76)	\$ 197.24
6/30/2018	QOL	27	\$ 78,000.00	\$	4,500.00	\$	_	\$	4,500.00	\$	(897 <i>.7</i> 2)	\$ 3,602.28
7/7/2018	QOL	14	\$ 27,500.00	\$	3,000.00	\$	-/	\$	3,000.00	S	(952.76)	\$ 2,047.24
7/14/2018	QOL	3	\$ 6,000.00	\$	200.00	\$	-	\$	200.00	\$	(413.40)	\$ (213.40
7/28/2018	QOL	19	\$ 44,000.00	\$	2,150.00	\$	-	\$	2,150.00	ξ,	(771.76)	\$ 1,378.24
8/4/2018	QOL	26	\$ 65,000.00	\$	3,100.00	\$	-	\$	3,100.00	\$	(771.76)	\$ 2,328.24
8/11/2018	QOL	20	\$ 50,000.00	\$	1,700.00	\$	-	\$	1,700.00	\$	(578.36)	\$ 1,121.64
8/18/2018	QOL	26	\$ 75,000.00	\$	4,050.00	\$	-	\$	4,050.00	Ş	(952.76)	\$ 3,097.24
8/25/2018	QOL	22	\$ 65,000.00	\$	4,100.00	\$	-	\$	4,100.00	1	(965.16)	\$ 3,134.84
9/1/2018	QOL	26	\$ 77,000.00	\$	3,650.00	\$	-	\$	3,650.00	\$	(965.16)	\$ 2,684.84
9/8/2018	RENTAL	11	\$ 22,000.00	\$	2,500.00	\$	750.00	\$	3,250.00	٠,	(386.80)	\$ 2,863.20
9/15/2018	RENTAL	3	\$ 7,500.00	\$	1,750.00	\$	750.00	\$	2,500.00	\$	(331.76)	\$ 2,168.24
9/22/2018	RENTAL	12	\$ 27,000.00	\$	2,250.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	3,250.00	S	(331.76)	\$ 2,918.24
9/29/2018	RENTAL	4	\$ 9,500.00	\$	3,650.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	4,650.00	\$	(193.40)	\$ 4,456.60
10/6/2018	RENTAL	1	\$ 2,500.00	\$	500.00	\$	250.00	\$	750.00	Ş	(193.40)	\$ 556.60
10/13/2018	RENTAL	0	\$ -	\$	_	\$	250.00	\$	250.00	Ş	(193.40)	\$ 56.60
11/23/2018	RENTAL	8	\$ 13,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00	\$	1,000.00	5	(396.16)	\$ 603.84
Totals		341	\$ 824,000.00	\$	51,950.00	\$	9,000.00	\$	60,950.00	4	(13,499.84)	\$ 47,450.16

3. <u>Enforcement Personnel</u>

Enforcement Agent roster for 2018

NAME	CALL	TITLE	2016 Employment Dates	In- Service Time (months)	Notes
	1		FULL TIME STAFF	1 (months)	
JASON MISTRETTA	107	Chief Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
KIM DAVIS	101	Principal Investigator	1/1/18 - 5/14/18	5.5	Worker Comp. 5/14/18-10/24/18 Suspended from Duty 10/24/18- 12/31/18
ROBIN BAHNSEN	106	Senior Investigator	1/1/18 - 12/31/18	12	6/23/18 - Restored to the DOCE from the Building Division Initiative
SCOTT PALADINI	114	Senior Investigator	1/1/18 - 2/8/18	1.25	Suspended/Resigned facing discipline
DAN ECKERT	104	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	6/23/18 - Restored to the DOCE from the Building Division Initiative
LORRAINE KESLER	112	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
MARYANN PASQUALINO	110	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	11	7/16/18 – 8/15/18 – 30 Day Suspension
SUSAN DOOLEY	105	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
LEONARD SCHNALL	103	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	11	6/16/18 – 7/11/18 - 30 Day Suspension 10/26/18 – 11/21/18 – Administrative Leave
JUAN BECIANA	220	Investigator (Spanish speaking)	1/1/18-12/31/18	11.75	4/24/18 – 4/30/18 – Suspended 1 week
THOMAS BROWN	111	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
JEAN LOUIS	122	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
MICHAEL CRUZ	108	Investigator	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
CESAR BENEVIDES	118	Investigator (Spanish speaking)	1/1/18-12/31/18	12	
ALLAN FAJARDO	117	Investigator (Spanish speaking)	1/22/18 - 7/5/18	5.5	Resigned - Brookhaven
Budgeted Town Investigator				0	Maintained vacant related to the termination of #207 in 2017
Budgeted Town Investigator		This is		0	This position was offered to two separate candidates, both declined.
				154	Total Months
			PART TIME STAFF		
KELLY LYON	102	Investigator	1/1/18 - 12/31/18	12	Maximum 20 hours per week
THOMAS LIGUORI	113	Investigator	1/1/18 - 12/31/18	12	Maximum 20 hours per week
CYNTHIA MCCAFFERY	326	Sign Inspector	1/1//18 - 1/16/18	0.5	Transferred to Building Division
CRAIG RUDIGER	118	Investigator	1/1/18 - 12/31/18	12	Maximum 20 hours per week
VICTORIA MENDEZ	320	Code Enforcement Officer	1/1/18 ~ 12/31/18	12	Maximum 17.5 hours per week
ALLAN FAJARDO	115	Investigator (Spanish Speaking)	8/24/18 - 12/31/18	4	Maximum 20 hours per week
				52.5	Total Months

3. Enforcement Personnel – continued

Enforcement Resources for 2018

In 2018, the Division of Code Enforcement budgeted for 16 full time enforcement agent positions equal to 192 months of service. An estimated 50 months of enforcement agent service were not productive for the Division as positions remained unfilled, assigned to the Building Division, or out of service due to injury, discipline, and worker's compensation.

Reason for Loss of Service	Months of Service Lost	% of Total Budget Resources
Unfilled Budgets Positions	18.5	9.6 %
Discipline	15	7.8 %
Worker's Compensation/FMLA	5	2.6 %
Building Division Assignment	13.5	7 %
Total	52	27 %

As a result, 140 months of service were dedicated to enforcement and support of enforcement in the Division of Code Enforcement representing approximately 73% of the overall budgeted resources.

Six additional part time staff members, including Investigators at 20 hours per week, a Sign Inspector at 17.5 hours per week and Code Enforcement Officer at 17.5 hours per week performed enforcement activity for a total of 52.5 months of service.

4. Training

In 2018, the Division of Code Enforcement achieved minimum training requirements to obtain and maintain New York State Code Enforcement Certification for all required personnel.

The divisional training provided this year included Seasonal Condition Enforcement and routine procedural topics.

General Staff

- New York State Code Enforcement Certification
 - o In-service training 100% of all certified staff met minimum requirements
 - 384 hours
 - Basic certification
 - 144 hours Investigator Fajardo
- Division of Code Enforcement Training
 - Seasonal Condition Enforcement Training
 - **3**/23/18
 - Property Maintenance §6A
 - 4/27/18 -
 - Swimming Pools
 - **8/27/18**
 - Back to School
 - 9/21/18 -
 - Heat Supply
 - Routine Procedural Training
 - **1/22/18**
 - Communicating with Dispatch
 - **2/23/18**
 - Appearance Ticket Preparation, Voiding & Documentation
 - Service Natural Person
 - **5/25/18**
 - General Complaint Investigation & Enforcement Action
 - **6/18/18**
 - General Complaint Investigation & Enforcement Action
 - **7/23/18-**
 - Department of Motor Vehicles Records Access & Use
 - PSEnfD Property Flagging
 - 10/29/18 -
 - Memorandum Books
 - Prohibited Uses Enforcement
 - 11/30/18 -
 - Case Status
 - Dispatched Response Procedure
 - 12/17/18 Report Writing
 - Professional Development
 - None

5. Summary

In 2018, the Division of Code Enforcement remained a division of the Department of the Town Attorney; however, on November 20th 2018 Local Law 27 was approved during a public hearing to once again amend the Town of Islip Code to return the Division of Code Enforcement to the Department of Public Safety Enforcement. In January 2019, this amendment was approved and the local law was adopted. The division now faces another period of transition.

Several goals and objectives were set for the Division to accomplish during 2018 within the last edition of this report. Many of these goals remain outstanding from prior years and have not yet been achieved or, in some instances, even attempted. Some goals may be abandoned and others amended to meet the standards set by the Commissioner of the Department of Public Safety Enforcement.

This was another challenging year for some of the personnel in the division. Senior Investigator Paladini resigned his position while facing disciplinary action. Principal Investigator Davis spent the majority of 2018 out of service, first due to injury, and then due to suspension pending a disciplinary hearing. One Town Investigator position remained open due to former Town Investigator Stewart's termination agreement. Another Town Investigator position had been filled by a Spanish-speaking candidate, who later resigned to accept a similar, yet higher paying, position in Southampton Town. Two candidates offered this position, declined due to the relatively low starting salary.

The Building Division Enforcement Initiative concluded and the Senior Town Investigator and Town Investigator assigned to the initiative returned to general complaint investigations and supervision. Two Town Investigators remained assigned to the Post Prosecution Investigation Unit. Sign permitting responsibility was transferred to the Building Division, along with the part time Sign Inspector. This transfer of authority allowed the full time Town Investigator who had primarily executed the sign permitting process to be utilized for complaint investigations. The remaining enforcement staff focused primarily on support and general complaint response functions.

The physical work environment of the Division of Code Enforcement at 28 Nassau Avenue was not updated or improved. The building wants for maintenance and updating. Presently, the enforcement staff is relocating to room 331 of 401 Main Street.

There were three new vehicles, each Ford Interceptor SUVs, added to the division fleet. Maintaining some of the vehicles in our fleet in operable condition remains a challenge due to the age and use. There are eight vehicles remaining in our fleet that are more than ten years old and have in excess of 100,000 miles.

The information technology issued to the enforcement staff remains a great asset. All field staff are equipped with mobile computers that are network connected and offer coextensive access to information whether remotely or in the office. All vehicles permanently assigned to enforcement agents are equipped with mobile data technology equipment such as laptop docking stations and printers. Most pool vehicles are similarly equipped.

Communication is an integral component for the division. The entire Enforcement Staff are provided mobile telephones, which are in the process of being upgraded to smartphones. New radio equipment was acquired during 2018, and the field staff are now individually assigned portable radios.

5. Summary - continued

Complaint investigation is the primary responsibility of the Division of Code Enforcement. Throughout 2018, the division investigated 100% of the complaints alleging violations related to swimming pools and within the PPD community of College Woods. Further, 71% of Z06 type complaints related to illegal housing were investigated, up from 45% in 2017. Our overall complaint response increased to 79% in 2018, which is the best response rate ever measured.

Conclusive enforcement actions, including the service of appearance tickets, closed complaints and closed cases, exceeded our results of 2017. In 2018, the division served 5,144 appearance tickets, 1,402 more than in 2017. The Post Prosecution Unit filed 520 delinquency requests with the Town Attorney's Division of Law Enforcement, 17 more than in 2017. Combined 5,833 cases and complaints were closed by the Division of Code Enforcement in 2018, more than in 2017 when 5,421 were closed.

District Court fines and fees generate the division's revenue. District court revenue collected in 2018 was \$1,005,285.00, slightly more than the budget goal but \$136,330 less than 2017. The Division of Law Enforcement collected \$280,143.54 in judgments. The average fine per appearance ticket decreased to \$195.43 per appearance ticket issued, \$63.03 less than 2017. In 2018, divisional fee revenue related to Rental Occupancy and Sign Permits and violation search fees was \$694,206.50. An increase in fees has not occurred in at least fifteen years. There is very little opportunity to increase fee revenue other than to increase fees for Rental Occupancy Permits and Violation Reports.

Training objectives including mandatory New York State Code Enforcement Official Certification and continuing education requirements were achieved through the attendance of 528 hours of New York State approved training. Divisional monthly training was performed throughout the year and remains the best opportunity to invest in the development of personnel.

In conclusion, the Division of Code Enforcement achieved most growth and performance goals in 2018, including goals related to enforcement, complaint response, and revenue. Personnel matters remain a lingering obstacle, most notably those associated with supervisory personnel and the inability to offer competitive salaries to qualified candidates. Finally, replacing underperforming supervisors and establishing an appropriate chain of command will increase accountability exponentially and improve overall performance.

Attachment A:

TOWN OF ISLIP



DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT 28 NASSAU AVENUE ISLIP, NEW YORK 11751 (631)224-3347/48 (631)224-3756 (74X)

ANGLE M. CARPENTER.

HEA KHAPP TOWN ATTORNEY

June 21# 2016

Non-Complaint Enforcement Directive - Expired Rental Occupancy Permit Enforcement Initiative

Scope:

This directive is for non-complaint based enforcement of Town of Islip codes related to Rental Occupancy Permits which have expired and for which property owners have failed to respond to final notices for renewal or submission of an affidavit affirming the termination of rental occupancy.

The intent of this initiative is to effectively enforce Rantal Occupancy Permit requirements or close expired Rental Occupancy Permit files through investigation.

Any conditions observed while participating in this enforcement initiative which may or may not be related to Rental Occupancy shall be addressed by the issuance of an appearance ticket and processed in accordance with the existing directive.

Description of Assignment:

- This initiative is to be conducted utilizing evertime at a rate of one hour per location (if more time is needed, assignments can be completed during normal shifts utilizing straight time only)
 - Assignments will be provided in a geographically advantageous manner
 - The initiative is to be conducted on a weekly basis as approved by management
 - Four cases per week will be assigned to each participating investigator.
 - a. Allotted hours are to be worked in one extension of shift or on Saturday at a time pins is rate only
- Non-complaint based cases must be created and all related investigative activity shall be documented in accordance with the established standards, regardless of whether or not violations are identified.
- Appearance tickets are to be prepared for all violations observed and service is to be completed within that
 7 days of the observation of the violation.
 - Note the specified presumptive evidence for both Rantal Occupancy and Owner's Rasidance found within The Town of Ssip Code, Chapter 68. Article 1 (see Code Reference below)
- Each prosecution case file resulting from this non-complaint enforcement of any week is to be prepared in
 the typical prescribed manner and submitted simultaneously, bound together and clearly identified as a
 "Rectal Octupancy Enforcement" file

Special Instructions

- Any properties found to be vacant and unsecured are to be referred to the Division of Fire Prevention through a supervisory Investigator. A non-complaint based case shall document the observations, photographs, and details of referral.
- Any alteration or maintenance violations shall be addressed through the issuance of appearance tickets
- If no other violations are observed, the case shall be submitted to be closed after referral and by
 documenting the case control number of the Division of Fire Prevention case.

Eligibility to Participate:

- All full-time Investigators assigned to the Division of Code Enforcement with the following exceptions:
 - Investigators who are participating in another enforcement initiative which provides overtime that
 is exclusive to their unit assignment

Awarding of Assignment

This assignment will be offered by Chief Investigator Mistretta on a rotational basis to all full time.
 Investigators utilizing a separate established list. This list will be utilized exclusively for this enforcement ministive, shall offer in order of senionty, as overtune is approved.

Seniority	Name	Date offered	Participation
3	LAURI KESLER	:	
2	JOSHUA STEWART		
3	MARYANN PASQUALINO		
4	SUSAN DOOLEY		Ţ.
5	LEONARO SCHNALI		
6	JUAN BECLANA		
7	Stephen Badala		
8	CESAR BENEVIDES		

Weekly Reporting and Initiative Analysis:

- Chief Investigator Mistretta shall on a weekly basis submit the requisite Overtime Instification and Authorization forms and report appearance ticket, closed permit, renewed permit information within the DOCE Summary Report.
- . Periodic analysis of this Enforcement Institutive will be prepared by Chief Investigator Mistretta to measure
 - Cost
 - Appearance ticket istmance
 - Potential and actual fines
 - Rears! Occupancy Pagnits
 - Removed
 - · Closed

Code Reference:

TOWN OF ISLIP CODE

Chapter 68 Zoning

§ 68-649 Definitions.

As used in this article, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated.

RENT - A return in money, property or other valuable consideration (including payment in kind or for services or other thing of value) for the use and occupancy or the right to the use and occupancy of a dwelling unit, whether or not a legal relationship of landlord and tenant exists between the owner and the occupant or occupant; thereof

RENTAL DWELLING - A despiting unit established, occupied, used or maintained for reard occupancy.

RENTAL OCCUPANCY -The occupancy or use of a dwelling timit by one or more persons as a kome or residence under an arrangement whereby the occupant or occupant; thereof payment for each occupancy and use

RENTAL OCCUPANCY PERMIT - A permit which is issued upon application to the Code Enforcement. Official and shall be valid for two years from the date of issuance.

§ 68-650 Rental occupancy permit required.

- A. It shall be unlawful and a violation of this article for any person or unity who or which owns a dwelling unit in the Town to use, establish, maintain, operate, let, lease, rant or units or pennit or allow the occupancy and use thereof as a restal occupancy by someone other than the owner units on in the ting obtained a valid rantal occupancy permit therefor Faihure or refusal to procupe a rental occupancy pennit hereundar thall be desired a violation.[Amended 5-21-2013]
- B. A remail occupancy permit assued under this article shall only be issued to the owner(s) of the real property at issue.
- C. As a rental occupancy permit issued under this article is not transferable, in the event that the ownership of a rental dwelling is transferred, the new owner shall register the property within 30 days of the closing of title pursuant to the requirements set forth in this article. If the rental dwelling is not registered as required by this article, there will be a previmption that used property is being utilized as rental property by the new owner(s) in violation of this article.
- D. Exception: Rental units established purment to § 68-419.1 and 68-592 do not require a rectal occupancy permit as defined in Article XLX. [Added 5-28-2008]
- E. No rental occupancy permit shall be gramed to a transient rental property. [Added 12-15-2015]

§ 68-664 Presumptive evidence dwelling unit is reated.

- A. The presence or existence of any of the following shall presum a presumption that a dwelling unit is reated:
 - (1) The dwelling unit is occupied by someone other than the owner and the owner of the dwelling unit represents, in writing or otherwise, to any person, semblishment, business, insutution or government agency that he resides at an address other than the dwelling unit in question.
 - (2) Persons residing in the dwelling unit represent that they pay rent to the owner of the premises
 - (3) Utilizies, cable, telephone or other services are in place or are requested to be installed or used at the describing unit in the name of someone other than the owner
 - (4) The timerry by a witness that it is common knowledge in the community that a person other than the owner resides in the dwelling unit.

§ 68-665 Presumptive evidence of owner's residence

A. It shall be presumed that an ewner of a dwelling unit does not reads within said dwelling unit if one or more of the following sets forth an address which is different than that of the dwelling unit

- (1) Voter registration;
- (2) Motor vehicle registration:
- (3) Driver's Econse; er
- (4) Any other document filed with a public agency.

§ 68-666 Penalties for offenses.

[Amanded 414-2009]

Any person, association, firm or corporation who or which violates any provision of this article or assists in the violation of any provision of this article shall be guilty of a violation, punishable:

A. By a fine of not less than \$750 and not exceeding \$2,500 or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed 15 days, or both, for conviction of a first offense.

B. For any second conviction, committed within a period of five years of the first conviction, such violation will be subject to a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$3,000 or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed 15 days, or both.

C. For any third or subsequent offense, after having been convicted two or more times within a period of five years, such violation shall be prosecuted as an unclassified misdemeanor, with a minimum fine of \$5,000 and a maximum fine of \$10,000 and/or a maximum period of incarceration of one year.

Chapter 6A Property Maintenance

§ 6A-20 Minimum maintenance standards for residential properties.

*All subsections

Attachment B:

TOWN OF ISLIP



DIVISION OF CODE EMPORCEMENT 28 NASSAU AVENUE ISLEP, NEW YORK 11751 (631)224-554/48 (631)224-5754 (FAX)

ANGLE M. CARPENTER SUPERVISOR

JR DOCIOCCIO YOMN ATTORNEY

June 6, 2017

Non-Complaint Enforcement Directive - Expired Rental Occupancy Permit Enforcement Initiative

Scope:

This directive is for non-complaint based enforcement of Town of Islip codes related to Rental Occupancy Permits which have expired and for which property owners have failed to respond to final natices for renewal or submission of an affidavit affirming the termination of rental occupancy.

The intent of this initiative is to effectively emforce Rental Occupancy Permit requirements or close expired Rental Occupancy Permit files through investigation.

Any conditions observed while participating in this enforcement intrinsive which may or may not be related to Rental Occupancy shall be addressed by the issuance of an appearance ticket and processed in accordance with the existing directive.

Description of Assignment:

- This initiative is to be concluded utilizing overtime at a nate of one hour per location (if more time is needed, assignments can be completed using normal shifts utilizing straight time only)
 - Assignments will be provided in a geographically advantageous manner
 - o The initiative is to be conducted on a weekly basis as approved by management
 - o Four cases per week will be assigned to each participating Investigator
 - a Allotted hours are to be worked in one extension of shift or on Saturday at a time plus ½ rate only.
- Non-complaint based cases must be created and all related investigative activity shall be documented in accordance with the established standards, regardless of whether or not violations are identified.
- Appearance tickers are to be prepared for all violations observed and service is to be completed within that
 7 days of the observation of the violation.
 - Note the specified presumptive evidence for both Rental Occupancy and Owner's Residence found within The Town of Islip Code, Chapter 68, Article I. (see Code Reference below)
- Each prosecution case file resulting from this non-complaint enforcement of any week is to be prepared in
 the typical prescribed manner and submitted simultaneously, bound together and clearly identified as a
 "Restal Occupancy Enforcement" file.

Special Instructions:

- Any properties found to be vacant and unsecured are to be referred to the Division of Fire Prevention
 through a supervisory Investigator. A non-complaint based case shall document the observations,
 photographs, and details of referral.
- Any alteration or maintenance violations shall be addressed through the issuance of appearance tickets.
- If no other violations are observed, the case shall be submitted to be closed after referral and by
 documenting the case control number of the Division of Fire Prevention case.

Eligibility to Participate:

- All full-time Investigators assigned to the Division of Code Enforcement with the following exceptions:
 - Investigators who are participating in another enforcement initiative which provides overtime that
 is exclusive to their unit assignment

Awarding of Assignment:

This assignment will be offered by Chief Investigator Mistretts on a rotational basis to all full time
Investigators utilizing a separate established list. This list will be utilized exclusively for this enforcement
initiative, shall offer in order of seniority; as overtime is approved.

Seniority	Vame
1	LAURI SESLER
2	JOSHUA STEWART
3	MARYANN PASQUALINO
4	SUSAN DOCUEY
5	LEONARD SCHNALL
6	JUAN BECIANA
7	THOMAS BROWN
8	JEAN LOUIS

Weekly Reporting and Initiative Analysis:

- Chief Investigator Mistretta shall on a weakly basis submit the requisite Overtime Justification and Authorization forms and report appearance ticket, oldered permit, renewed permit information within the DOCE Summary Report.
- Periodic analysis of this Enforcement Initiative will be prepared by Chief Investigator Mistratta to measure
 - : Cost
 - : Appearance ticket issuance
 - Potential and actual fine:
 - Rental Occupancy Permits
 - Received
 - Ocead

Code Reference:

TOWN OF ISLIP CODE

Chapter 68 Zoning

§ 68-649 Definition:.

As used in this article, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated.

RENT - A return in money, property or other valuable consideration (including payment in kind or for services or other thing of value) for the use and occupancy or the right to the use and occupancy of a dwalling unit, whether or not a legal relationship of landford and taxant exists between the owner and the eccupant or eccapants thereof.

RENTAL DWELLING - A dwalling unit established, occupied, used or maintained for rental occupancy.

RENTAL OCCUPANCY -The occupancy or use of a dwelling unit by one or more persons as a home or residence under an arrangement whereby the occupant of occupants thereof my rear for such occupancy अकर्त गड्ड

RENTAL OCCUPANCY PERMIT - A permit which is issued upon application to the Code Enforcement Official and shall be valid for two years from the date of issuance.

§ 68-650 Rental occupancy permit required.

A. It shall be unlawful and a violation of this article for any person or entity who or which own: a dwelling unit in the Town to use, establish, maistain, operate, let, lease, rest or office or person or allow the occupancy and use thereof as a receal occupancy by someone other than the owner without first hearing obtained a valid rental occupancy permit therefor. Failure or refusal to procure a rental occupancy permet hereunder shall be deemed a violation [Amended 5-21-2013]

B. A rental occupancy permit firmed under this article shall cody be issued to the owner(s) of the real property at 155720.

C. As a rental occupancy permit issued make this article is not transferable, in the event that the ownership of a rental dwelling is transferred, the new owner that register the property within 10 days of the closing of title pursuant to the requirements set forth in this article. If the residul dwelling is not registered as required by this article. there will be a presumption that and property is being utilized as rental property by the new corner(s) in violation of this article.

D. Exception: Rental units established pursues to § 68-419 1 and 68-602 do not require a rectal occupantly permit as defined in Article XLX (Added 5-28-2008)

E. No rental occupancy parent shall be grassed to a transient rental property. (Added 12-15-2015)

§ 68-664 Presumptive evidence dwelling unit is rented.

A. The presence or existence of any of the following shall cream a presumption that a dwelling unit is rented:

- (I) The dwelling unit is occupied by someone other than the currer and the currer of the dwelling unit represents, in writing or otherwise, to any person, establishment, business, instrution or government agency that he resides at an address other than the dwelling unit in question
- (2) Pursons reading in the dwelling unit represent that they pay rent to the owner of the pramises.
- (3) Utilizies, cable, telephone or other services are in place or are requested to be installed or used at the alling unit in the name of comeons other than the owner.
- (4) Testimony by a witness that it is common knowledge in the community that a person other than the corner resides in the dwalling unit.

§ 68-665 Presumptive evidence of awner's residence.

A. It shall be presumed that an owner of a dwelling unit does not recode within said dwelling unit if one or more of the following sets forth an address which is different than that of the dwelling and

- (1) Vocas registration;
- (2) Moter vehicle registration:
- (3) Driver's license, or
- (4) Any other document filed with a public agency.

§ 68-666 Penalties for offenses.

[Amended 4-14-2009]

Any person, association, firm or corporation who or which violates any provision of this article or assists in the violation of any provision of this article shall be guilty of a violation punishable:

A. By a fine of not less than \$750 and not exceeding \$2,500 or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed 15 days, or both, for conviction of a first effense.

B. For any second conviction, committed within a period of five years of the first conviction, such violation will be subject to a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$3,000 or by imprisonment for a period not to exceed 15 days, or both.

C. For any third or subsequent offense, after having been consisted two or more times within a period of five years, such violation thall be prosecuted as an unclassified misdemeanor, with a minimum fine of \$3,000 and a maximum fine of \$10,000 and/or a maximum period of incarceration of one year.

Chapter 6A Property Maintenance

§ 6A-20 Minimum maintenance standards for residential properties.

*All subsections

Attachment C:

TOWN OF ISLIP



DIVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT 28 NASSAU AVENUE ISLIP, NEW YORK 11751 (631)224-5547/48 (631)224-5756 (FAX)

ANGLE M. CARPENTER SUPERVISOR

JR DICIOCCIO TOWN ATTORNEY Central Complaints...(631)224-5460 Housing.................(631)224-5474 Records Access.......................(631)224-5439

October 3, 2017

Non-Complaint Investigative and Enforcement Directive

New York State Problem Property - Investigation

The scope of this directive is applicable and limited to non-complaint based investigation of properties reported by the New York State Department of Financial Services as "Problem Properties". These properties are reported to the New York State Department of Financial Services as being vacant by banks, mortgage companies, and mortgage servicing companies.

The primary intent of this initiative is to efficiently record the conditions of these properties and to report the conditions back to the New York State Department of Financial Services. Additionally, we will address violations which are detrimental to the quality of life of neighboring properties using traditional enforcement methods such as the service of appearance tickets or Town Board authorization to clean properties or secure buildings.

Description of Assignment:

- This initiative is to be conducted by full time personnel authorized using overtime on a rotational basis.
 - This initiative is to be conducted by full time enforcement agents utilizing 4 hours of overtime for a maximum of three full time participants a week (if more time is needed to accomplish service, assignments shall be completed during normal shifts)
 - Observations of Problem Properties must be accomplished during daylight hours and
 maybe accomplished during typical scheduled hours. The extension of shift will then be
 utilized to conduct routine investigations offsetting the time spent on investigations
 associated with this program.
 - Whenever possible, assignments will be provided in a geographically advantageous manner
 - The initiative is to be conducted on a weekly basis as approved by management
 - Allotted hours are to be worked in one extension of shift or on Saturday and only at a time plus % rate.
- Reports for properties for which no enforcement action is taken shall be prepared on the forms provided, entitled New York State Department of Financial Services Problem Property Investigation Report (attachment A).
- Non-complaint based cases must be created and all related divestigative and enforcement activity shall be
 documented in accordance with the established standards, for all violations which are addressed through the
 service of an appearance ticket.
 - Appearance tickets are to be prepared for violations observed at a property that is found to be occupied or for which the defendant is within purisdiction.
 - The service of appearance tickets is to be completed within 7 days of the observation of the replation

Ut\Procedures\Initative procedures\NYSDFE PP\Nor Complaint enforcement-NYSDF5.PP Program investigation.10.2.17.amended.cocx

- Each prosecution case file resulting from this non-complaint enforcement of any week is to be prepared in the typical prescribed manner clearly identified as a "NYSPP" file.
- When identified "Problem Properties" for which there are associated complaints or cases are investigated
 and the conditions alleged are unfounded, the associated complaint shall be identified on the provided form.
 Complaints and cases shall be closed administratively.

Investigation Procedure:

- A prepared New York State Department of Financial Services Problem Property Investigation Report will
 be provided for each location assigned for investigation.
- The New York State Department of Financial Services Problem Property Investigation Report is to be completed based on the observed property conditions.
 - Vehicle and mileage information are to be recorded.
 - Start mileage for the first property investigation should be recorded from the starting position.
 - End mileage for the last property investigation should be recorded at conclusion (either return to office or off-duty)
- Appearance tickets are to be prepared for violations observed at a property that is found to be occupied and
 for which the defendant is within jurisdiction.
 - Non-complaint based cases must be created and all related investigative and enforcement activity
 which shall be documented in accordance with the established standards, for all violations which
 are addressed through the service of an appearance ticket.
 - The service of appearance tickets is to be completed within 7 days of the observation of the violation.
 - Each prosecution case file resulting from this non-complaint enforcement of any week is to be prepared in the typical prescribed manner clearly identified as a "NYSPP" file.
- Supervisory Investigators assigned investigations with associated complaints and or cases shall note what
 existing complaints of cases can be satisfied or closed based on the observed conditions.
 - The standard for closing or satisfying a complaint or case remains the same as for typical
 complaint response. Cases or complaints will only be closed or satisfied when observations
 invalidate the prior existing or alleged violations (i.e. outdoor storage or litter removed, vegetation
 transmed, etc.).
 - The actual closing or satisfying of cases or complaints will be performed by the Supervisory Investigator.
- Both complete and incomplete New York State Department of Financial Services Problem Property Investigation Report are to be returned to the Administrating Investigator

Awarding of Assignment:

- This assignment will be conducted by full time enforcement agents on a rotational selection of enforcement
 agents willing to participate.
 - Identified "Problem Properties" for which there are no associated active complaints shall be assigned to Town Investigators
 - Identified "Problem Properties" for which there are associated active complaints shall be assigned to the Senior or Principal Town Investigators

Special Instructions:

- Any properties found to be vacant and unsecured are to be referred to the Division of Fire Prevention through a supervisory Investigator. A non-complaint based case shall document the observations, photographs, and details of referral.
- Conditions observed while participating in this investigation and enforcement initiative which exceed the
 defined scope, but constitute a hazardous condition, such as improper gas paping or swimming pool
 installation or maintenance shall be addressed by the issuance of an appearance ticket and processed in
 accordance with the existing directives. If the service of an appearance ticket(s) is impractical, due to the
 lack of an appropriate person or enrity under jurisdiction, hazardous conditions shall be reported to the
 Chief, Principal, and Senior Investigator via email.

Eligibility to Participate:

All full-time Investigators assigned to the Division of Code Enforcement.

Code Reference:

§ 6A-20.

A -Minimum maintenance standards for residential properties- Exterior property areas

- (1) Sanitation. All exterior property and premises shall be maintained in a clean, safe and sanitary condition. All exterior property and premises shall be free from any accumulation of rubbish or garbage. The occupant shall keep that part of the exterior property which such occupant occupies or controls in a clean and sanitary condition. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
- (2) Sidewalks and driveways. All sidewalks, walkways, stairs, driveways, parking spaces and similar areas shall be kept in a proper state of repair, and maintained free from hazardous conditions. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within \S 6A-21.
- (3) Weeds. All premises and immediate exterior property shall be maintained free from weeds or plant growth in excess of 10 inches (254 mm). All noxious weeds shall be prohibited. Weeds shall be defined as all grasses, annual plants and vegetation, other than trees or shrubs: provided, however, this term shall not include cultivated flowers and gardens. The failure to maintain an improved property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-31.
- (5) Accessory structures. All accessory structures, including detached garages, fences and walls, shall be maintained structurally sound and in good repair. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within \$ 6A-21.
- (6) Motor vehicles. No vehicle shall at any time be in a state of major disassembly, disrepair, or in the process of being stripped or dismantled. Painting of vehicles is prohibited unless conducted inside an approved spray booth. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.

B. Minimum maintenance standards for residential properties- Exterior structure.

U:\Procedures\Initaitive procedures\NYSDFE PP\Nor.Complaint enforcement-NYSDFS.PP.Program.investigation.10.2.17.amended.docx

- (1) General. The exterior of a structure shall be maintained in good repair, structurally sound and sanitary so as not to pose a threat to the public health, safety or welfare. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within $5 \, 6A-21$.
- (2) Protective treatment. All exterior surfaces, including, but not limited to, doors, door and window frames, cornices, porches, trim, balconies, decks and fences shall be maintained in good condition. Exterior wood surfaces, other than decay-resistant woods, shall be protected from the elements and decay by painting or other protective covering or treatment. Peeling, flaking and chipped paint shall be eliminated and surfaces repainted. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
- (3) Exterior walls. All exterior walls shall be free from holes, breaks, and loose or rotting materials, and maintained weatherproof and properly surface coated where required to prevent deterioration. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
- (4) Roofs and drainage. The roof and flashing shall be sound, tight and not have defects that admit rain. Roof drainage shall be adequate to prevent dampness or deterioration in the walls or interior portion of the structure. Roof drains, gutters and downspours shall be maintained in good repair and free from obstructions. Roof water shall not be discharged in a manner that creates a public maisance. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
- (5) Stairways, decks, porches and balconies. Every exterior stairway, deck, porch and balcony, and all appurtenances attached thereto, shall be maintained structurally sound, in good repair, with proper anchorage and capable of supporting the imposed loads. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
- (6) Chimneys and towers. All chimneys, cooling towers, smokestacks, and similar appurtenances shall be maintained structurally safe and sound, and in good repair. All exposed surfaces of metal or wood shall be protected from the elements and against decay or rust by periodic application of weather-coating materials, such as paint or similar surface treatment. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
- (7) Handrails and guards. Every handrail and guard shall be firmly fastened and capable of supporting normally imposed loads and shall be maintained in good condition. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within $\frac{5}{2}$ 6A-21.
- (8) Window, skylight and door frames. Every window, skylight, door and frame shall be kept in sound condition, good repair and weathertight. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within § 6A-21.
 - (a) **Glazing**. All glazing materials shall be maintained free from cracks and holes. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a wolation punishable as set forth within § **6A-21**.
- (9) **Openable windows**. Every window, other than a fixed window, shall be easily openable and capable of being held in position by window hardware. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within \S 6A-21.
- (10) **Doors.** All exterior doors, door assemblies and hardware shall be maintained in good condition. Locks at all entrances to dwelling units and sleeping units shall tightly secure the door. The failure to maintain a property in compliance with this subsection shall constitute a violation punishable as set forth within \S 6A-21.

New York State Department of Financial Services Problem Property Inspection Report

Supervisory Notes Only	Vehicle & Mileage
Database record Key #	Vehicle #:
Record Created By	Start Wileage:
Record Completed By:	End Mileage:
Date/Time of inspection:	Investigator:
Property Address:	
	Organization Name:
Is this propert	y Vacant: <u>Yes / No</u>
	Site Details
Overgrown Vegetation : Yes / No	Varies:
Outdoor Storage: Yes / No.	Vates:
Litter & Debris: Yes / No	Notes:
Broken Fending: Yes / No	Naces:
Grafkti: Yes / No	Nates:
Swimming Poot: Yes / No	Votes:
Unsecured/Damaged Accessory Structures: Y	les / No
	Votes:
General Notes:	
	The same of the sa
Prin	nary Structure
Structure Type: One-Family Dwesling /	Two-family Owelling / Other
Secured: Yes/No Boarded: Yes/No	Notes:
	rcement Action
Case Number: Summary of Enforcement Action:	
Board or Clean up Resolution Recommended:	Yes / No

UtiAFrocedures), nitative procedures) NYSDF3 PF Field, Check ist 8,29,17